arXiv:1704.08485v1 [math.QA] 27 Apr 2017

2-VERMA MODULES AND KHOVANOV-ROZANSKY LINK HOMOLOGIES

GRÉGOIRE NAISSE AND PEDRO VAZ

ABSTRACT. For a particular choice of parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$ we construct a parabolic 2-Verma module and use it to give a construction of Khovanov-Rozansky's HOMFLY-PT and $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ link homologies. We use our version of these homologies to prove that Rasmussen's spectral sequence from the HOMFLY-PT-link homology to the $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-link homology converges at the second page, proving a conjecture of Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Parabolic Verma modules and link invariants 4
3. Parabolic 2-Verma modules 9
4. Link homology 25
References 31

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most celebrated homology theories of knots and links in 3-space is Khovanov and Rozansky's $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-link homology [10] categorifying the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-link invariant. Soon after the appearance of [10] the existence of a triply-graded link homology categorifying the HOMFLY-PT polynomial was predicted in [3] by Dunfield, Gukov and Rasmussen, who made various conjectures about the structure of such homology theory. One of these conjectures was the existence of a family of differentials d_N, for N an integer, acting on the triply-graded homology and whose homology for each link L coincides with Khovanov and Rozansky's $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-link homology when $N > 0$.

A rigorous construction of a triply-graded link homology categorifying the HOMFLY-PT polynomial was given by Khovanov and Rozansky in [11] (see also [7]). The structure of this link homology was studied by Rasmussen in [22]. Rasmussen defined a family of differentials on the KR HOMFLY-PT link homology and showed that, for each $N > 0$, these differentials give rise to a spectral sequence starting at the KR HOMFLY-PT homology and converging to the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ homology.

In this paper we give a new interpretation of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial in terms of parabolic Verma modules and a new construction of KR HOMFLY-PT link homology by introducing certain 2-Verma modules, the latter categorifying the parabolic Verma modules involved in the

HOMFLY-PT polynomial. Using our version of HOMFLY-PT homology we prove that Rasmussen's spectral sequence converges at the second page, which implies the Dunfield-Gukov-Rasmussen conjecture for $N > 0$.

Summary of the paper and description of the main results. In the search for a construction of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial based on representation theory, Queffelec and Sartori [21] provided an algebraic gadget, called the double Schur algebra, which accommodates the Hecke algebra and the Ocneanu trace under the same roof. The double Schur algebra $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)$ contains two copies of the Schur algebra (the cases $\ell = 0$ and $k = 0$), and is defined as a quotient of idempotented quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+k}$, whose weight lattice has been shifted by a formal parameter. For link L presented as the closure of a braid b with k strands, Queffelec and Sartori constructed a certain element in the double Schur algebra which is a multiple of a certain idempotent coinciding with the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of L.

The construction in [21] finds a natural place in the terms of representations of the double Schur algebra. In this paper we extend the notion of Weyl modules to double Schur algebras and translate Queffelec and Sartori's results to this context. Concretely we show that, with the choice of highest weight $\beta = (\beta, \dots, \beta, 0, \dots, 0)$ (n βs and n 0s), the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of L can be obtained from the Weyl module $W(\beta)$ as a map which is a multiple of the identity, the coefficient being the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of L.

As representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+k})$, Weyl modules $W(\mu)$ are isomorphic to a parabolic Verma modules $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu)$ for a certain parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ and we can rephrase the previous paragraph entirely in terms of parabolic Verma modules.

Theorem A (Theorem 2.5)**.** For a link presented as the closure of a braid b with k strands, the construction above defines an element $P^{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \in \mathrm{End}_{U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})}\big(M_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\big)$ which is a link invariant. It is a multiple of the identity whose coefficient equals the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.

We construct a version of KLR algebra associated with the pair $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ that combines the original KLR algebra for $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$ with the superalgebra A_m introduced in [17] (see also [18] for a further study of A_m). This new algebra, denoted $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, is a particular case of a general family of algebras introduced in [19] associated to symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. We pass to a suitable cyclotomic quotient $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and make use of the usual procedure to construct functors E_i^{β}, F_i^{β} (for i a simple root of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$) from functors of restriction and extension for maps adding strands to diagrams. We prove that $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ acts on the category of left bounded, locally finite dimensional, supermodules for $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, whose (topological) Grothendieck group is therefore isomorphic to the parabolic Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu)$. Since we work with a bigraded supercategory the Grothendieck group is a module over $\mathbb{Z}[\![q, \lambda]\!][q^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}, \pi]/(\pi^2 - 1)$.

Theorem B (Proposition 3.18 and Theorem 3.19)**.** Functors F_i^{β} and E_i^{β} are exact and induce a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$-action on the Grothendieck group of $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which becomes isomorphic to $M^{p}(\beta)$ after specializing $\pi = -1$.

We upgrade this data into a 2-category $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$, which we call a *2-Verma module*.

The Rickard complex associated with a braid acts on the homotopy category of complexes of the Hom-categories of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\lambda)$ (this is a lift if the usual braiding induced by the embeddings of the Hecke algebra into a Schur algebra that embeds canonically in a double Schur algebra. For a closure of a braid b this procedure gives a chain complex $C(\mathrm{cl}(b))$.

Theorem C (Proposition 4.1, Corollary 4.2, Theorem 4.3)**.** The homotopy type of $C(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ is invariant under the Markov moves. Its homology groups are triply-graded link invariants and its bigraded Euler characteristic is the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b. Moreover, $H(b)$ is isomorphic to Khovanov and Rozansky HOMFLY-PT homology $\mathrm{HKR}(b)$.

Introducing a differential d_N on $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ turning it into a DG-algebra one can recover the usual cyclotomic quotient of a KLR algebra, in the sense that the former is formal and quasi-isomorphic to the latter. The work of Mackaay and Yonezawa in [15] implies that the construction above produces Khovanov and Rozansky's $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-link homology $\mathrm{HKR}_N(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ for the closure of b.

The differential d_N descends to $\mathrm{HKR}(b)$ and engenders a spectral sequence starting at $\mathrm{HKR}(b)$ and converging to $\mathrm{HKR}_N(b)$, which coincides with Rasmussen's spectral sequence in [22]. Using the formality of (R^{β}, d_N) one can prove that

Theorem D (Theorem 4.7)**.** Rasmussen's spectral sequence converges at the second page.

And this implies the Dunfield-Gukov-Rasmussen conjecture for $N > 0$:

Corollary A (Corollary 4.8)**.** For each link L and for each $N > 0$,

$$\mathrm{HKR}_N(L) \cong H(\mathrm{HKR}(L), d_N)$$

All the results above have an analogue for the case of reduced homologies.

Plan of the paper. In Section 2 we describe the double Schur algebra following Queffelec and Sartori and how to use it to define the HOMFLY-PT polynomial. We interpret their construction in the context of parabolic Verma modules. Section 3 consists of higher representation theory: we introduce parabolic 2-Verma modules. We define the superalgebras $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and develop a categorification of the parabolic Verma modules that are used to construct link homology theories categorifying the results in §2. We introduce a differential d_N on $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ yielding a DG-algebra quasi-isomorphic to a cyclotomic KLR algebra. Finally, Section 4 contains the topology. We introduce the braiding on the homotopy category of complexes of the Hom-categories of the 2-Verma module $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ through Rickard complexes and prove invariance of the Markov moves. We also prove our link homology groups are isomorphic to Khovanov and Rozansky's. We use the differential d_N to re-construct Rasmussen's spectral sequence starting at $\mathrm{HKR}(L)$ and converging to $\mathrm{HKR}_N(L)$ and prove it converges at the second page, which in turn proves the Dunfield-Gukov-Rasmussen conjecture for $N > 0$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Paul Wedrich and Jonathan Grant for helpful discussions and comments on a preliminary version of this paper. G.N. is a Research Fellow of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS, under Grant no. 1.A310.16. P.V. was supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS under Grant no. J.0135.16.

2. Parabolic Verma modules and link invariants

2.1. **Parabolic Verma modules.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a parabolic subalgebra. Let also $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ be respectively the Levi factor and the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}$. Let V be an irreducible representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$. It can be extended to $U_q(\mathfrak{p})$ by setting $U_q(\mathfrak{n})V = 0$. The *generalized Verma module* associated to $\mathfrak{p}$ and V is the induced module

$$M^{\mathfrak{p}}(V) = U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_q(\mathfrak{p})} V.$$

Taking V finite dimensional gives a *parabolic Verma module*. When V is one-dimensional we say $M_{\mathfrak{p}}(V)$ is of *scalar type*, and when $\mathfrak{p}$ coincides with the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ we recover the usual Verma modules. Generalized Verma modules are highest weight modules and therefore, can be expressed as quotients of usual (universal) Verma modules. See [5, Chapter 9] for further details on parabolic Verma modules and the manuscript [16] and references therein for a detailed study of generalized (and therefore of parabolic) Verma modules.

For a finite set I let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of simple roots. For each partition $I = I_f \cup I_m$ we consider the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}_I = \mathfrak{b} \oplus_{(i \in I_f)} g_{-\alpha_i}$, the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_f$ and $\mathfrak{g}_m$ and the corresponding Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_f$ and $\mathfrak{h}_m$. Then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}_f \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$.

In this paper we consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_k$ and concentrate on a certain class of parabolic Verma modules we now describe. Let Λ_f be an integral dominant highest weight for $\mathfrak{g}_f$ and $\Lambda_m = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I_m}$ with the λ_is formal parameters. The irreducible $L(\Lambda_f)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_f)$ can be extended uniquely to an irreducible for $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$ by declaring that $U_q(\mathfrak{h}_m)$ acts on the highest weight vector as $K_i v_0 = \lambda_i v_0$. We denote this parabolic Verma module by $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda)$, where Λ_i equals the ith entry in Λ_f if $i \in I_f$ or λ_i if $i \in I_m$.

2.2. **Link invariants.** In [21] Queffelec and Sartori proposed an algebraic method to construct the HOMFLY-PT and the Alexander polynomials of links in 3-space. They defined a generalization of the idempotented q-Schur algebra they called the *doubled Schur algebra*. In this section we will briefly recall the basics of the construction in [21] and explain how it fits with parabolic Verma modules.

2.2.1. *The doubled Schur algebra.* In the following we let β be a formal parameter, we write λ for q^β, and work over the ring of Laurent polynomials in λ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}(q)$. We denote by $\Lambda^\beta_{\ell,k}$ the set of sequences $(\mu_{-\ell+1}, \dots, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k) \in (\beta - \mathbb{N}_0)^\ell \times \mathbb{N}_0^k$ for $\ell \geqslant 0$ and $k \geqslant 0$ (we follow this convention, slightly different from [21], because we want to relate it later with highest weight, rather that lowest weight, parabolic Verma modules, and moreover it will simplify the notation used in the following sections). Let $\alpha_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell+k}$, the entry 1 being at position $i \in \{-\ell+1, \dots, k\}$. Let also $I = \{-\ell+1, \dots, 0, \dots, k-1\}$.

Definition 2.1. The doubled Schur algebra $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)$ is the $\mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$-linear category defined by the following data:

- Objects: 1_μ for $\mu \in \Lambda^\beta_{\ell,k}$, together with a zero object.
- Morphisms: generated by morphisms

$$E_i 1_\mu = 1_{\mu+\alpha_i} E_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(1_\mu, 1_{\mu+\alpha_i}), \qquad F_i 1_\mu = 1_{\mu-\alpha_i} F_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(1_\mu, 1_{\mu-\alpha_i}),$$

for $i \in I$, together with the identiy morphism of 1_μ (denoted by the same symbol). The morphisms are subject to the relation below:

(1) $1_\mu 1_\nu = \delta_{\mu,\nu} 1_\nu$,

(2) $(E_i F_j - F_j E_i)1_\mu = \delta_{i,j}[\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}]_q 1_\mu$,


(3) $E_i E_j 1_\mu = E_j E_i 1_\mu$ and $F_i F_j 1_\mu = F_j F_i 1_\mu$ if $|i-j| > 1$, and


$$E_i^2 E_{i\pm1} 1_\mu - [2]_q E_i E_{i\pm1} E_i 1_\mu + E_{i\pm1} E_i 1_\mu = 0,$$
$$F_i^2 F_{i\pm1} 1_\mu - [2]_q F_i F_{i\pm1} F_i 1_\mu + F_{i\pm1} F_i 1_\mu = 0.$$

(4) $1_\nu \in \mathrm{End}(1_\nu, 1_\nu)$ is zero if $\nu \notin \Lambda^\beta_{\ell,k}$.


For $\ell = 0$ we recover the idempotented q-Schur algebra $\dot{S}_q(k)_d$, with $d = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_k$, and for $k = 0$ we recover $\dot{S}_q(\ell)_d$, with $d = \ell\beta - (\mu_{-\ell+1} + \cdots + \mu_0)$.

2.2.2. *Link invariants from the doubled Schur algebra.* In [21] it was given a diagrammatic presentation for the doubled Schur algebra in terms of webs. These are generated by the ladder operators below.

$1_\mu \mapsto$ 


$1_{\mu-\alpha_i} F_i 1_\mu \mapsto$ 

and


$1_{\mu+\alpha_i} E_i 1_\mu \mapsto$ 

Notice that edges labelled with $\mathbb{N}_0$ are oriented upwards while edges labelled with $\beta - \mathbb{N}_0$ are oriented downwards. Multiplication corresponds to concatenation of diagrams, and in our conventions ab consists of placing the diagram of a on the top of the one for b if the labels match, being zero otherwise. On the $\mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$-vector space spanned by all such webs we impose the relations of the doubled Schur algebra from Definition 2.1.

We consider links presented in the form of closures of braids. To start with, let b be a braid diagram in n strands. For such a diagram we assign an element of $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n)_n = \dot{S}_{q,\beta}(0,n)$ using a well known rule originally due to Lusztig [12, Definition 5.2.1]. This extends immediately to an element in $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n,n)$ from the embedding $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n)_n \hookrightarrow \dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n,n)$. Denote $((\beta-1)^n,(1)^n)$ the label consisting of n entries equal to $\beta-1$ and n entries equal to 1. For σ_i (resp. σ_i^{-1}) a positive (resp. negative) crossing between the $(n+i)$th and the $(n+i+1)$th strands counting from the left we have




We consider closing braids on the left as in the diagram below.



To $\mathrm{cl}(b)$ we assing an element of $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n,n)$ obtained by adding cups and the bottom and caps at the top using the following pattern (dotted edges correspond to labels 0 and $\beta-0$ and solid lines to labels 1 and $\beta-1$):

(1)




and similar for the top of $\mathrm{cl}(b)$.


As explained in [21] this procedure gives an element $P(b) \in S_{q,\beta}(n,n)$ which is an endomorphism of $1_{((\beta)^n,(0)^n)}$, which in turn implies that $P(b) \in \mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$. One of the main results in [21] is the following.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorems 3.1 and 3.8 in [21])**.** *For a braid b the element $P(b)$ is a framed link invariant which equals the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*

The proof of Theorem 2.2 goes by first verifying that $P(b)$ is a braid invariant and then checking invariance under the Markov moves. In the process of showing that it equals the HOMFLY-PT polynomials it was shown that it gives the value $\frac{\lambda-\lambda^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$ for the unknot, and it satisfies



and the skein relation



Multiplying $P(b)$ by $\lambda^{w(\mathrm{cl}\, b)}$, where $w(\mathrm{cl}\, b)$ is the writhe of $\mathrm{cl}(b)$, results in the usual, framing independent, HOMFLY-PT polynomial.

By the usual specializations of λ we recover the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ ($\lambda = q^N$) and the Alexander polynomials ($\lambda = 1$) of the closure of b. Note that for the latter one needs to cut open one of the strands to avoid getting the value zero associated to the unknot, and therefore to any link, as explained in [21, §4] (see the discussion on normalized invariants in §2.2.4 below for further details).

2.2.3. *Parabolic Verma modules and link invariants.* Let $\Lambda_+ \subset \Lambda^{\beta}_{\ell,k}$ be the subset consisting of sequences satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \geqslant 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, \\ \beta - (\mu_0 - \mu_1) \geqslant 0 & \text{for } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

Introduce a total order on $\Lambda^{\beta}_{\ell,k}$ by declaring $\mu \succ \nu$ if $\mu - \nu \in \Lambda_+$.

Definition 2.3. For any $\mu \in \Lambda_+$ we define

$$W(\mu) = \dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)1_\mu / [\nu \succ \mu].$$

Here $[\nu \succ \mu]$ is the ideal generated by all elements of the form $1_\nu x 1_\mu$, for some $x \in \dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)$ and $\nu \succ \mu$.

For $\ell = 0$ we recover the well-known Weyl modules for the q-Schur algebra $\dot{S}_q(k)_{\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_k}$. As in the case of $\ell = 0$, it is also true that $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell})$ acts on $W(\mu)$: for $F_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell})$ and $1_\nu x 1_\mu \in W(\mu)$ we put $F_i.1_\nu x 1_\mu = 1_{\nu-\alpha_i} F_i x 1_\mu$ and similarly for $E_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell})$. Notice that the Chevalley generators of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell})$ are indexed from $\{-\ell+1, \ldots, k-1\}$, which is the set I introduced in the definition of $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the parabolic subalgebra obtained by adjoining the Chevalley generators $\{F_i : i \in I \backslash \{0\}\}$ to the Borel of $\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell}$. The module $W(\mu)$ is isomorphic with the parabolic Verma module $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu)$ as modules over $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+\ell})$.*

Proof. This follows at once from the fact that both $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2k})$-modules are generated by a single vector of weight $((\beta)^k, (0)^k)$ and the PBW theorem, which is also true for $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(\ell, k)$, and shows that the weights occuring in both modules are the same. □

From the previous section it is clear that all weights occurring in $P(b) \in \dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n,n)$ are smaller w.r.t. $\succ$ than $((\beta)^n,(0)^n)$ and thus $P(b)$ is sent to the same word in Es and Fs under the quotient map $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(k,k) \to W((\beta)^n,(0)^n)$. This means $P(b)$ acts on $W((\beta)^n,(0)^n)$ as an endomorphism of the highest weight object which equals the HOMFLY-PT poynomial of the closure of b.

Notation. From now on, for the sake of keeping the notation simple we denote the highest weight modules $W((\beta)^n,(0)^n)$ and $M^{\mathfrak{p}}((\beta)^n,(0)^n)$ by $W(\beta)$ and $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ respectively.


Under the isomorphism in Proposition 2.4 this defines an endomorphism $P^{\mathfrak{p}}(b)$ of the highest weight object of $M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ (seen as a category in the obvious way) consisting of the same word in Es and Fs as in $P(b)$, which yields the same element in $\mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$.

Theorem 2.5. *For a braid b the element $\lambda^{w(\mathrm{cl}(b))}P^{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \in \mathrm{End}_{U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})}\big(M^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)\big)$ is a link invariant which equals the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*

2.2.4. *Normalized link invariants.* In order to be able to compute the normalized HOMFLY-PT and $\mathfrak{sl}_N$-link invariants we follow the procedure described in [21, §4] that consists in cut opening the braid closure diagram $\mathrm{cl}(b)$ into a special type of $(1,1)$-tangle diagram.

We open the diagram of $\mathrm{cl}(b)$ by cutting the outermost strand, following a pattern as shown in the example below for a braid with three strands,

(2)



and the similar for the top part. We denote $\mathrm{cl}_o(b)$ the diagram obtained with this procedure.

The procedure described in §2.2.2 gives an element $\overline{P}(b) \in S_{q,\beta}(n-1,n)$ which is an endomorphism of $1_{((\beta)^{n-1},1,(0)^{n-1})}$, which in turn implies that $\overline{P}(b) \in \mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$ (see [21, §4] for details).

Theorem 2.6 (Proposition 4.6 in [21])**.** *For a braid b the element $\overline{P}(b)$ is a framed link invariant which equals the reduced HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*

Notice we could have opened the diagram in a different way, by chosing a different strand to cut it open. We could have equally opened the diagram by cutting it using one of the inner strands at the expense of adding crossings to the original diagram. In [21] the authors proved that the link invariants obtained do not depend on this choice.

To parallel the construction of §2.2.3 using a parabolic Verma module we consider $\mathfrak{gl}_{2k-1}$ and form the parabolic subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ that consists of adjoining $\{F_{\pm 1},\dots,F_{\pm(n-2)},F_{n-1}\}$ to the Borel of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1}$. The method described in §2.2.3 defines an endomorphism $\overline{P}^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(b)$ of the highest weight object of the parabolic Verma module $M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\beta}) := M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}((\beta)^{n-1},1,(0)^{n-1})$ (we leave the details to the reader but notice that the V used to construct the parabolic Verma module is two-dimensional with F_1 acting non-trivially on the highest weight vector). The following is an easy consequence of the paragraphs above.

Theorem 2.7. *For a braid b the element $\lambda^{w(\mathrm{cl}_o(b)}\overline{P}^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(b) \in \mathrm{End}_{U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})}\big(M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\beta})\big)$ is a link invariant which equals the reduced HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*


Remark 2.8. From now on, for the means of higher representation theory, we will consider the parabolic Verma modules $M^{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\beta})$ as living in $\mathbb{C}[\![q,\lambda]\!][q^{-1},\lambda^{-1}] \supset \mathbb{C}(q)[\lambda^{\pm 1}]$ with the polynomial fractions viewed as formal power series.

3. Parabolic 2-Verma modules


In this section we give superalgebras which can be thought of as a mild mix of the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras from [8, 23] and the superalgebra A_m defined by the authors in [17]. We will follow the diagrammatic presentation for A_m given in [18]. Our superalgebras contain KLR algebras as sub-superalgebras concentrated in parity zero. A general construction, giving all parabolic 2-Verma modules for Kac Moody algebras, is described in [19]. Here we focus on the cases of the parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$ from §2.2.3 and §2.2.4, respectively.

3.1. **A parabolic 2-Verma module I: the case of $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$.** We treat the $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$ ("unreduced") case first. Recall that in this case the set I is identified with $\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(n-1)\}$.

3.1.1. *A $\mathfrak{p}$-KLR algebra.* For each $\nu = \sum_{i\in I} \nu_i.i \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, where $i \in I$ runs over the simple roots of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$, we consider the collection of KLR diagrams $R(\nu)$, for the quiver A_{2n-1}, with bit of additional structure. Besides the KLR generators




for all $i, j \in I$, regions can be decorated with *floating dots*, as in the example below.



Floating dots, which we think of as associated with the simple root α_0, are labeled by non-negative integers, as $a \in \mathbb{N}$ in the example above. By convention, we don't write a label when it is 0 and refer to the respective floating dot as *unlabeled*.


Floating dots are *odd* while all other KLR generators are *even*. This means we endow diagrams with a *parity* and declare floating dots to have parity one and (KLR) dots and crossings to have parity zero. Diagrammatically this is translated in the fact that our diagrams are equiped with a Morse height function that keeps track of the relative height of floating dots and is used to forbid two floating dots to be at the same height. We allow all isotopies with respect to this Morse


function without creating any critical point. Making use of the Morse function we can interpret the fact that floating dots are odd by declaring them to anticommute in terms of diagrams,





Fix a ring $\Bbbk$ and denote by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ the $\Bbbk$-superalgebra obtained by linear combinations of these diagrams, together with multiplication given by gluing diagrams on top of each other whenever the labels agree, and zero otherwise. In our conventions ab means stacking the diagram for a atop the one for b, whenever they are composable (this is a consequence from the fact that we read diagrams from bottom to top). The diagrams are subject to the local relations (3) to (10) below.

• *The KLR relations:* For all $i, j, k \in I$ we have


(3)


(4)


(5)



(6) 

unless $i = k$ and $|i - j| = 1$,


(7) 

if $|i - j| = 1$,


• *The new relations:*

(8) 

,

(9) 

if $k = 0$,
if $k = \pm 1$,
if $|k| > 1$,

(10) 

We also impose a floating dot in the left-most region of a diagram to be zero:

(11) 

We turn $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ into a $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$-graded superalgebra. We think of the first grading as a q-grading and the second as cohomological, which we refer to as λ-grading. For $u\in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ we write $\deg(u)=(q(u),\lambda(u))$. For the KLR generators we extend the KLR grading trivially to a bigrading by setting

$$\deg\left(\begin{array}{c}\\ \bullet\\ \\ i\end{array}\right)=(2,0),\qquad \deg\left(\begin{array}{c}\\ \times\\ \\ i\quad j\end{array}\right)=\begin{cases}(-2,0) & \text{if } i=j,\\ (1,0) & \text{if } |i-j|=1,\\ (0,0) & \text{else.}\end{cases}$$

In order to define the degree of a floating dot, let ℓ_0 be the number of strands labeled 0 at its left and $\ell_{\pm 1}$ be the number of strands labeled ± 1, also at its left. We declare that


$$\deg\Big(\ \overset{a}{\circ}\ \Big)=\big(2(1+a-\ell_0+\ell_{\pm 1}),2\big).$$

It is immediate that relations (3) to (10) above preserve the bigrading and the parity. We denote by $\langle r,s\rangle$ a shift r units up in the q-grading and s units up in the λ-grading, and by Π a shift in the parity. We will sometimes write such a shift with a monomial $\Pi q^r\lambda^s$, with $\Pi M q^r\lambda^s=\Pi M\langle r,s\rangle$, and we'll float between the two notations.

Definition 3.1. We define the $\mathfrak{p}$-KLR superalgebra by


$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}=\bigoplus_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}[I]} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu).$$

3.1.2. *A basis for* $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will now construct a basis for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, viewed as a $\Bbbk$-module. Let us first introduce some notations borrowed from [8]. We denote by $\mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$ the set of all ordered sequences $\boldsymbol{i}=i_1i_2\dots i_m$ with each $i_k\in I$ and i appearing ν_i times in the sequence. For a diagram $D\in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ we write $\mathrm{bot}(D)\in\mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$ for the sequence given by reading the label of the strands at the bottom of D, from left to right. Similarly we define $\mathrm{top}(D)$ by reading the labels at the top. We let $e_{\boldsymbol{i}}\in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ be the idempotent given by m vertical strands with labels in the order induced by $\boldsymbol{i}$,

$$e_{\boldsymbol{i}}=$$ 


We say a floating dot is in *tight position*, or that is is tight, if it is unlabeled and located in the region immediately at the right of the leftmost strand. By (11) and (9), we can also assume that this leftmost strand is labeled 0 since it would give zero otherwise.

Proposition 3.2. *The following equality holds in* $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$



Relations (9) and (10), and Proposition 3.2 imply that any diagram in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be written as a linear combination of diagrams involving KLR generators and only tight floating dots.

Let ω_1 denote a tight floating dot in a diagram given by m vertical strands with labels supposed to be given by the context. Let ∂_a be a crossing between the a-th and the $(a+1)$-th strands (recall we count strands from left to right). Define the tightened floating dot $\theta_a = \partial_{a-1} \dots \partial_1 \omega_1 \partial_1 \dots \partial_{a-1}$,



where the ath strand is the one pulled to the left.

Fix $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$. Since they are both sequences of the same elements, there is a subset of permutations ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}S_{\boldsymbol{i}} \subset S_m$ such that $i_k = j_{\phi(k)}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\phi \in {}_{\boldsymbol{j}}S_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Suppose we have chosen a reduced expression for a fixed permutation $\phi = s_{\ell_r} \dots s_{\ell_1}$. Up to choosing another equivalent reduced expression, we can also suppose that it is left-adjusted. That is for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the expression splits into $\phi_2^k = s_{\ell_r} \dots s_{\ell_{t+1}}$ and $\phi_1^k = s_{\ell_t} \dots s_{\ell_1}$ such that $\phi_1^k(k)$ is minimal. By this we mean that for all other factorization $\phi = \phi_2' \phi_1'$ given by another reduced expression of ϕ, then $\phi_1^k(k) \leqslant \phi_1'(k)$. If we view ϕ as a diagram where each s_k corresponds to a crossing ∂_k, it is given by the reduced expression where each strand is pulled as far as possible to the left. For example, the following permutation has two reduced expressions and we take the one at the left:




In general one can transform a reduced expression into a left-adjusted one by applying a sequence of Reidemeister 3 moves (6, 7) (and isotopies), transforming each triplet of intersections as in the example above, from right to left. The reduced, left-adjusted expression is unique up to distant crossings permutations.


Now fix such a presentation $\phi = s_{\ell_1} \dots s_{\ell_r} \in {}_{\boldsymbol{j}}S_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, and choose $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \{0,1\}^m$. To such a triplet $(u, \alpha, s_{\ell_1} \dots s_{\ell_r})$ we associate an element of $e_{\boldsymbol{i}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu) e_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ given by a diagram in which crossings correspond to the chosen reduced expression for ϕ, on which we add u_k dots at the bottom of the kth strand for all k. Moreover, for each $\alpha_k = 1$, we insert a tightened floating dot $\theta_{\phi_1^k(k)}$ between the part of the diagram corresponding

to ϕ_1^k and ϕ_2^k. In other words, we insert a tightened floating dot on the kth strand where it goes as far as possible to the left.


Example 3.3. *With* $\boldsymbol{i} = (-1)02$, $\boldsymbol{j} = 20(-1)$, $u = (2,0,1)$ *and* $\alpha = (0,1,0)$ *we take* $\phi = s_1s_2s_1$ *and we obtain*




Example 3.4. *For another example, take* $\boldsymbol{i} = 01100$ *and* $\boldsymbol{j} = 11000$. *We choose the following permutation*



It is not minimal as we can pull the 4*th strand to the left, so by applying two Reidemeister 3 moves* (6) *we get the presentation*



Now if we take $u = (0,1,0,0,2)$ *and* $\alpha = (1,0,0,1,0)$ *the diagram in* $e_{\boldsymbol{i}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)e_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ *we get is*



We denote ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ the set of diagrams from $\boldsymbol{i}$ to $\boldsymbol{j}$ given by all choices of u, α and permutations ϕ, with a fixed choice of presentation for each of them. We can also suppose $\alpha_k = 0$ whenever $\boldsymbol{i}_k \neq 0$.


Lemma 3.5. ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ *generates* $e_{\boldsymbol{j}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}e_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ *seen as a* $\Bbbk$*-module.*

We only give a sketch of the proof, more details being available in [19].

Proof. (Sketch) First by Proposition 3.2 we can assume all floating dots are tight. The proof consists of induction on the number of crossings. Notice we can apply the Reidemeister moves II and III (3, 6, 7) (if it is not blocked by a floating dot) at the cost of adding diagrams with fewer crossings. We can also slide dots over crossings at the same cost. This means we can always bring all dots at the bottom of the diagram, as in [8, Section 2.3]. Moreover we can apply

isotopies on the strands where the floating dots act as nails that block the strands labeled 0. Now suppose we have two tight floating dots at the right of a same strand. Then by isotopy we can pull this strand as tight as possible to the left between the two floating dots, such that it becomes either a vertical strand, and thus zero by the anti-commutative property of the floating dots, or there are some bumps formed by other (tight) floating dots. From (5) and (8) we have

(12)



and we can slide the floating dots over the bumps until they are both in the same region, yielding zero. We can now suppose all diagrams have up to one tight floating dot per strand (labeled 0). If two strands cross more than once, then, if there is a floating dot between the two crossings we can isotopy to get a tightened floating dot, and if not we can unknot them by isotopy. This concludes the proof. □

Notice the choice of (minimal reduced) presentation for ϕ and the order in which we insert the tightened floating dots does not matter for generating $e_{\boldsymbol{j}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} e_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ thanks to (12) and the Reidemeister II and III moves. To prove ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ gives a basis for $e_{\boldsymbol{j}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} e_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, we first need to construct an action of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on some polynomial ring.

3.1.3. *Polynomial action.* We define

$$P_\nu = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{i}\in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)} Pol_{\boldsymbol{i}} \otimes_{\Bbbk} Ext_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \quad Pol_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \Bbbk[x_1(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, x_m(\boldsymbol{i})], \quad Ext_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \bigwedge\nolimits^{\bullet}\langle \omega_1(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, \omega_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{i})\rangle.$$

We denote by $y_1(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, y_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{i})$ the ordered variables $x_k(\boldsymbol{i})$ with $i_k = 0$. There is an action of S_m on P_ν given by

$$s_k : Pol_{\boldsymbol{i}} \otimes Ext_{\boldsymbol{i}} \to Pol_{s_k\boldsymbol{i}} \otimes Ext_{s_k\boldsymbol{i}},$$

sending

$$\begin{cases} x_p(\boldsymbol{i}) \mapsto x_p(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) & \text{if } p \neq k, p \neq k+1, \\ x_k(\boldsymbol{i}) \mapsto x_{k+1}(s_k\boldsymbol{i}), & \\ x_{k+1}(\boldsymbol{i}) \mapsto x_k(s_k\boldsymbol{i}), & \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \omega_p(\boldsymbol{i}) \mapsto \omega_p(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) + (x_k(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) - x_{k+1}(s_k\boldsymbol{i}))\omega_{p+1}(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1} \text{ and } x_k(\boldsymbol{i}) = y_p(\boldsymbol{i}), \\ \omega_p(\boldsymbol{i}) \mapsto \omega_p(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice the condition $x_k(\boldsymbol{i}) = y_p(\boldsymbol{i})$ means i_k is the pth element in $\boldsymbol{i}$ with value $i_k = 0$.

We define an action of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ on P_ν by first letting $d \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ act as zero on $Pol_{\boldsymbol{i}} \otimes Ext_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ whenever $\mathrm{bot}(d) \neq \boldsymbol{i}$. A dot on the ℓth strand acts as multiplying by $x_\ell(\boldsymbol{i})$ and a floating dot with

label a immediately at the right of the ℓth strand acts as multiplication by

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\ell_\pm} \omega_{\ell_0}^{a+\ell_\pm-r}(\boldsymbol{i}) e_r(\ell_\pm), \tag{13}$$

where ℓ_0 and $\ell_\pm$ are the number of strands labeled respectively 0 and ± 1 at its left, $e_r(l_\pm)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial in degree r in variables $x_k(\boldsymbol{i})$ with $i_k = \pm 1$ and $k \leqslant \ell$, and $\omega_r^a(\boldsymbol{i})$ is computed recursively using

$$\omega_r^0(\boldsymbol{i}) = \omega_r(\boldsymbol{i}), \qquad \omega_r^a(\boldsymbol{i}) = \omega_{r-1}^{a-1}(\boldsymbol{i}) - y_r(\boldsymbol{i})\omega_r^{a-1}(\boldsymbol{i}),$$

with $\omega_0^a(\boldsymbol{i}) = 0$. Using results from [18, Lemma 2.18], this gives

$$\omega_r^a(\boldsymbol{i}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} (-1)^{r+a+\ell} h_{a+\ell-r}(y_\ell(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, y_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{i}))\omega_\ell(\boldsymbol{i}),$$

where $h_n(y_\ell(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, y_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{i}))$ is the nth complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial in variables $(y_\ell(\boldsymbol{i}), \dots, y_{\nu_0}(\boldsymbol{i}))$.

A crossing between the k-th and $(k+1)$-th strands, with bottom labels i and j acts by

$$\begin{aligned}
&f \mapsto s_k(f) && \text{if } |i-j| > 1,\\
&f \mapsto \partial_k(f) && \text{if } i = j,\\
&f \mapsto (x_k(s_k\boldsymbol{i}) + x_{k+1}(s_k\boldsymbol{i}))s_k(f) && \text{if } i = j+1,\\
&f \mapsto s_k(f) && \text{if } i = j-1,
\end{aligned}$$

where ∂_k is given by $\partial_k(1) = 0$,

$$\partial_k(x_p(\boldsymbol{i})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = k,\\ -1 & \text{if } p = k+1,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \qquad \partial_k(\omega_p(\boldsymbol{i})) = \begin{cases} -\omega_{p+1}(\boldsymbol{i}) & \text{if } i = 0, y_p(\boldsymbol{i}) = x_k(\boldsymbol{i}),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

together with the Leibniz rule

$$\partial_k(fg) = \partial_k(f)g + s_k(f)\partial_k(g). \tag{14}$$

This action was defined in [17, §9] and ∂_k can be computed as a divided difference operator

$$\partial_k(f) = \frac{f - s_k(f)}{x_k(\boldsymbol{i}) - x_{k+1}(\boldsymbol{i})}.$$

Theorem 3.6. *The rules above define an action of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu)$ on P_ν.*

Proof. The proof is a computation, checking that the construction respects all the relations from the definition of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is done in details in [19]. □

Theorem 3.7. *The family ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is a basis for $e_{\boldsymbol{j}}R_{\mathfrak{p}}e_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ seen as a $\Bbbk$-module.*

Proof. The elements of ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ act as linearly independent endomorphisms on P_ν. The proof is similar to [24, Proposition 3.8] and the details are given in [19]. □

3.1.4. *Decomposition of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.* Our goal now is to describe how $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ can be decomposed as a module over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m-1)$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ is the subalgebra of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ given by diagrams with m strands. We then use it to state a categorical version of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ commutator relations for each simple root. The following subsection is inspired by the work of Kang and Kashiwara in [6] and a generalization of [18, §3.1].

Denote $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m,i)$ the subalgebra given by diagrams with m strands together with a vertical strand with label i at the right. Similarly, denote by $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu,i)$ the collection of diagrams $D \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m,i)$ such that $\mathrm{bot}(D) = \boldsymbol{j}i$ with $\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$. The idempotents $e_{(m,i)}$ and $e_{(\nu,i)}$ are given respectively by the sum of all diagrams with $m+1$ vertical strands in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m,i)$ and in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\nu,i)$. These definitions extend in general for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m,\boldsymbol{i}) \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+|\boldsymbol{i}|)$ with $\boldsymbol{i}$ some sequence in I, and for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(\boldsymbol{i},m) \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+|\boldsymbol{i}|)$. We will write $\otimes$ for $\otimes_{\Bbbk}$ and $\otimes_m$ for $\otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)}$ throughout this section.


Proposition 3.8. *As a left $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$-module, $e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)$ is free with decomposition given by*

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(m,0)}\partial_m \dots \partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a] \oplus \theta_a^{x_1}),$$


where $\theta_a^{x_1} = \partial_{a-1}\dots\partial_1\omega_1\Bbbk[x_1]\partial_1\dots\partial_{a-1}$. Moreover, $e_{(m,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)$, $k \neq 0$, is also a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$-module with decomposition given by

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(m,\pm k)}\partial_m \dots \partial_a \Bbbk[x_a].$$

The two statements can be informally written in terms of diagrams as



with the 'a' meaning it is the ath strand, and



Proof. The right coset decomposition of S_{m+1},

$$S_{m+1} = \bigsqcup_{a=1}^{m+1} S_m s_m \dots s_a,$$

gives a choice of minimal reduced presentations to construct ${}_{\boldsymbol{j}}B_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. By Theorem 3.7, this is a basis and thus we get the decomposition as claimed, after sliding some dots over crossings. An easy exercise shows we can choose any (fixed) position on each strand for placing the dots on the diagrams in the construction of the basis, after inserting the tightened floating dots. □

Lemma 3.9. *As a right $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$-module, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)e_{(m,0)}$ is free with decomposition*

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1}(\Bbbk[x_a]\oplus\theta_a^{x_1})\partial_a\dots\partial_m e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$$

and if $y\in\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ then $y-w\in R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}$ for some $w\notin R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}$.

Proof. Crossings and dots slide to the top, up to adding diagrams with fewer crossings. Tight floating dots slide thanks to the relation (12). See [18] (or [19]) for more details. □

The second assertion of the lemma above means the projections of an element in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)$ onto the summands $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}$ or $\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ when viewed as left or right $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$-module give the same result.

We are now able to construct a short exact sequence (resp. isomorphisms) that will be used later on to construct the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ commutator relation for the simple roots 0 (resp. $\pm k$).

Proposition 3.10. *There is a short exact sequence of $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m),R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m))$-bimodules*

$$0\to q^{-2}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(m-1,0)}\otimes_{m-1}e_{(m-1,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\to e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)e_{(m,0)}$$
$$\to R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\theta_{m+1}\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\to 0,$$

where $\theta_{m+1}e_{(\nu)}$ means a shift $\Pi q^{2\nu_\pm-4\nu_0}\lambda^2$. There are also isomorphisms of $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m),R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m))$-bimodules


$$e_{(m,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)e_{(m,\pm k)}\simeq q^{-2}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(m-1,\pm k)}\otimes_{m-1}e_{(m-1,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi],$$

and

$$e_{(m,i)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)e_{(m,j)}\simeq q^{-i\cdot j}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(m-1,j)}\otimes_{m-1}e_{(m-1,i)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m),$$

for $i\neq j$ and $k\geqslant 1$.

We can informally view this short exact sequence in terms of diagrams as



Proof. There is a $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m),R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m))$-bimodule morphism $\tau: R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\otimes_{m-1}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\to R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)$ given by

$$x\otimes y\mapsto x\partial_m y.$$

For the short exact sequence, we define the right arrow as the projection on the summands $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\Bbbk[x_{m+1}]$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\theta_{m+1}^{x_1}$ in the decomposition from Proposition 3.8. By Lemma 3.9, this yields a bimodule morphism. The composition of two arrows gives zero and thus it is a complex. The exactness can be checked with a dimensional argument.

We get the desired isomorphisms by using similar arguments as in [6, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4] that is, comparing the decompositions as left (or right) modules and noticing the dimensions agree. □

Despite we did not write the diagrammatic equivalents for the isomorphisms, we encourage the reader to draw them to get a topological grasp of their meaning.

Definition 3.11. We define $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}$ to be the quotient of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by all diagrams of the form



$$\cdots \quad , \qquad i \neq 0.$$

In other words, we kill all diagrams which at some height have a strand of color different from 0 at the left.

We write $\otimes_m^{\beta}$ for $\otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)}$. Although the short exact sequence from Proposition 3.10 already gives a categorical version of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ commutator for the root α_0, we still have to prove it descends to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}$.

Lemma 3.12. *There is an isomorphism of* $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m), R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m))$*-bimodules*

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \otimes_m e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(m,0)} \otimes_m R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \simeq e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1) e_{(m,0)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(\pm k,m)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(m,0)} \\ &\qquad = e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) e_{(\pm k,m)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(m,0)} + e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(\pm k,m)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) e_{(m,0)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is as a consequence of Proposition 3.8. □

Remark 3.13. Notice that in general

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \otimes_m e_{(m,\pm k)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(m,\pm k)} \otimes_m R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \not\simeq e_{(m,\pm k)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1) e_{(m,\pm k)},$$

for $k \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.14. *As a left* $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)$*-module,* $e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)$ *is free with decomposition given by*

$$\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \partial_m \dots \partial_a (\Bbbk[x_a] \oplus \theta_a^{x_1}).$$

Proof. There is a decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1) &= \frac{e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)}{\sum_{k \geqslant 1} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) e_{(\pm k,m)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) \partial_m \dots \partial_a (\Bbbk[x_a] + \theta_a^{x_1})}{\sum_{k \geqslant 1} \sum_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) \partial_m \dots \partial_a (\Bbbk[x_a] + \theta_a^{x_1}) e_{(\pm k,m)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) \partial_m \dots \partial_a (\Bbbk[x_a] + \theta_a^{x_1})}{\sum_{k \geqslant 1} \sum_{a=2}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m) e_{(\pm k,m)} \partial_m \dots \partial_a (\Bbbk[x_a] + \theta_a^{x_1}) R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and with a bit of work one can show that

$$\sum_{a=2}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(\pm k,m)}\partial_m\dots\partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a]+\theta_a^{x_1})R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m+1) = \\ \sum_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(\pm k,m)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\partial_m\dots\partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a]+\theta_a^{x_1}),$$

such that

$$e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1) = \frac{\bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\partial_m\dots\partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a]+\theta_a^{x_1})}{\sum_{k\geqslant 1}\sum_{a=1}^{m+1} e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)e_{(\pm k,m)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)\partial_m\dots\partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a]+\theta_a^{x_1})} \\ = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{m+1} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\partial_m\dots\partial_a(\Bbbk[x_a]\oplus\theta_a^{x_1}),$$

and this concludes the proof. □

We can now state and prove all categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ commutator relations in terms of the the cyclotomic $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}$ algebra.

Theorem 3.15. *There is a short exact sequence of* $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m), R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m))$*-bimodules*

$$0 \to q^{-2}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)e_{(m-1,0)}\otimes_{m-1}^{\beta} e_{(m-1,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m) \to e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)e_{(m,0)} \\ \to R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\theta_{m+1}\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\to 0,$$

and the following isomorphisms for $k\geqslant 1$:

i) if $\nu_{k\pm1}\geqslant 2\nu_k$,

$$e_{(\nu,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)e_{(\nu,\pm k)} \cong \\ q^{-2}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)e_{(m-1,\pm k)}\otimes_{m-1}^{\beta} e_{(m-1,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]/(\xi^{\nu_{k\pm1}-2\nu_k}),$$

ii) if $\nu_{k\pm1}\leqslant 2\nu_k$,

$$q^{-2}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)e_{(m-1,\pm k)}\otimes_{m-1}^{\beta} e_{(m-1,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu) \cong \\ e_{(\nu,\pm k)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)e_{(\nu,\pm k)}\oplus q^{2(\nu_{k\pm1}-2\nu_k-1)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]/(\xi^{2\nu_k-\nu_{k\pm1}}),$$

iii) for $i\neq j$,

$$e_{(m,i)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)e_{(m,j)} \simeq q^{-i\cdot j}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)e_{(m-1,j)}\otimes_{m-1}^{\beta} e_{(m-1,i)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m).$$

Proof. Proposition 3.10 and Lemma 3.12 together with the fact that the functors $-\otimes_m R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\otimes_m -$ are right exact give the following exact sequence

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)e_{(m-1,0)}\otimes_{m-1}^{\beta} e_{(m-1,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\{-2\} \to e_{(m,0)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+1)e_{(m,0)} \\ \to R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m)\theta_{m+1}\otimes\Bbbk[\xi]\to 0.$$

The first map is injective thanks to Lemma 3.14, hence we get the desired short exact sequence.

The arguments from Kang-Kashiwara still apply in our context and thus we get the same isomorphisms as in [6, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2]. An alternative proof can be also found in [19]. □

The categorical Serre relations are interpreted in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}$ as the following.

Proposition 3.16. *We have isomorphisms of* $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2), R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m))$*-bimodules*

$$e_{(\nu,ij)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \simeq e_{(\nu,ji)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \qquad \text{for } |i-j|>1,$$

and of $(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+3), R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m))$*-bimodules*

$$q^{-1}e_{(\nu,iji)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \oplus qe_{(\nu,iji)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \simeq e_{(\nu,iij)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \oplus e_{(\nu,jii)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(m+2)e_{(\nu)} \qquad \text{for } i=j\pm 1.$$

Proof. The first isomorphism comes from the relation (3). The second relation follows from the relations (3) and (6) as in [8, Proposition 2.13]. □

3.1.5. *Categorical $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$-action.* Let $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)$-mod be the category of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)$-supermodules and morphism given by degree preserving supermodule maps. Let $\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta} : R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\text{-mod} \to R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu+i)\text{-mod}$ be the induction functor given by adding a strand of color i to the right of a diagram and $\mathsf{E}_i^{\beta} : R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu+i)\text{-mod} \to R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\text{-mod}$ be a shift of its right adjoint. Explicitly we define

$$\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}(M) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu+i)e(\nu,i) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)} M,$$
$$\mathsf{E}_i^{\beta}(N) = e(\nu,i)N\langle 2\nu_i - \nu_{i\pm 1} + 1, -\epsilon_i\rangle,$$

for $M \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\text{-mod}$ and $N \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu+i)\text{-mod}$, $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $i = 0$ and $\epsilon_i = 0$ otherwise. We also define

$$\mathsf{Q} = \bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0} \Pi\,\mathrm{Id}\langle 2k+1\rangle.$$

We introduce the notations $\oplus_{[a]_q} M = \oplus_{\ell=0}^{a-1} M\langle -k+1+2\ell, 0\rangle$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\oplus_{[\beta+k]_q} M = \mathsf{Q}M\langle k, 1\rangle \oplus \Pi\mathsf{Q}M\langle -k, -1\rangle$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A direct consequence of Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 3.16 is the following, which describe how F_i^{β} and E_i^{β} give a categorical action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ on $\bigoplus_{\nu} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\beta}(\nu)\text{-mod}$.

Theorem 3.17. *There is a short exact sequence of functors*

$$0 \to \mathsf{F}_0^{\beta}\mathsf{E}_0^{\beta}1_{\nu} \to \mathsf{E}_0^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_0^{\beta}1_{\nu} \to \oplus_{[\beta+\nu_{\pm 1}-2\nu_0]_q} 1_{\nu} \to 0, \tag{15}$$

and isomorphisms

$$\mathsf{E}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_{\nu} \simeq \mathsf{F}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\mathsf{E}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_{\nu} \oplus_{[\nu_{k\pm 1}-2\nu_k]_q} 1_{\nu} \qquad \text{if } \nu_{k\pm 1} \geqslant 2\nu_k, \tag{16}$$

$$\mathsf{F}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\mathsf{E}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_{\nu} \simeq \mathsf{E}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_{\nu} \oplus_{[2\nu_k-\nu_{k\pm 1}]_q} 1_{\nu} \qquad \text{if } \nu_{k\pm 1} \leqslant 2\nu_k, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathsf{E}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}1_{\nu} \simeq \mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}\mathsf{E}_i^{\beta}1_{\nu}, \tag{18}$$

for $i \neq j$ and $k \geqslant 1$. There are also isomorphisms

$$\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}1_{\nu} \simeq \mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}1_{\nu} \qquad \text{for } |i-j|>1, \tag{19}$$

$$\oplus_{[2]_q}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}1_{\nu} \simeq \mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}1_{\nu} \oplus \mathsf{F}_j^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}\mathsf{F}_i^{\beta}1_{\nu} \qquad \text{for } i=j\pm 1. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 3.18. *The functors E_i^β and F_i^β are exact (and send projectives to projectives).*

Proof. For $i \neq 0$, we can apply the same arguments as in [6, Corollary 4.6]. For $i = 0$ this is a direct consequence of the fact that the $(R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu+i), R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu))$-bimodule $R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu+i)e_{(\nu,i)}$ is sweet. □

3.1.6. *The categorification theorem.* Let $R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu)\text{-mod}_{\text{lf}}$ be the category of left bounded, locally finite dimensional, left supermodules over $R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu)$, with degree zero morphisms. By locally finite dimensional we mean the objects, seen as graded $\Bbbk$-vector spaces, must be finite dimensional in each degree, and the left bounded means the graded dimension is bounded from below.

In [17, §5], it is explained how the Grothendieck group of such a category can be defined as a free $\mathbb{Z}_\pi[\![q,\lambda]\!][q^{-1},\lambda^{-1}]$-module generated by the simple modules up to shift, where $\mathbb{Z}_\pi = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]/\pi^2$. It is a topological module for the (q,λ)-adic topology. By computing the projective resolutions of the simple modules, one can show it is freely generated by the projectives as well. We denote it $\boldsymbol{G}_0(R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu))$.

We define $R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta\text{-mod}_{\text{lf}} = \bigoplus_\nu R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(\nu)\text{-mod}_{\text{lf}}$ and we equip it with the endofunctors F_i^β and E_i^β. It is not hard to see that the functor Q descends to multiplication by $\frac{1}{q-q^{-1}} = \frac{-q}{1-q^2}$ in the Grothendieck group, after specializing $\pi = -1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_\pi$. Thus we get

$$[\oplus_{[n]_q} M] = [n]_q[M], \qquad [\oplus_{[\beta+k]_q} M] = \frac{\lambda q^k - \lambda^{-1}q^{-k}}{q-q^{-1}}[M].$$

Recall that $M^\mathfrak{p}(\beta) := M^\mathfrak{p}((\beta)^n, (0)^n)$.

Theorem 3.19. *The functors F_i^β and E_i^β induce an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ on the Grothendieck group of $R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta$ when specializing $\pi = -1$. With this action we have a $\mathbb{C}[\![q,\lambda]\!][q^{-1},\lambda^{-1}]$-linear isomorphism*

$$\boldsymbol{G}_0(R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta) \cong M^\mathfrak{p}(\beta),$$

of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ representations. Moreover, the isomorphism sends classes of projective objects to canonical basis elements and classes of simple objects to dual canonical basis elements.

Proof. By Theorem 3.17 and Proposition 3.18, the functors F_i^β and E_i^β descend to an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ on the Grothendieck group, giving it a structure of a weight module.

Seeing that $R_\mathfrak{p}(\nu)$ has the same decomposition into idempotents as $R(\nu)$ from [8] we can transpose the arguments in [8, § 3.2] such that $R_\mathfrak{p}(\nu)$ also categorify $U_q^-(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ as an algebra (but not as a coalgebra !). Combining this with the arguments from [6, § 6], we conclude that $\boldsymbol{G}_0(R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta)$ is generated by a highest weight vector $[R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(0)] = [\mathbb{Q}]$.

Since E_i and $\mathsf{F}_{\pm k}$ act as zero on $[R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(0)]$, it is a highest weight module with 1-dimensional highest weight space, isomorphic to $V(0,\dots,0)$ as $U_q(\mathfrak{l})$-module. Thus, there is a surjective morphism

$$\gamma : M^\mathfrak{p}(\beta) \to \boldsymbol{G}_0(R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta),$$

sending the highest-weight vector to $[R_\mathfrak{p}^\beta(0)]$. The module $M^\mathfrak{p}(\beta)$ being irreducible by the Jantzen's criterion (see [5, § 9.13] or [16] for the non-quantum case), γ must be an isomorphism. □

3.1.7. *A parabolic 2-Verma module.* The parabolic 2-Verma module $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ is the full sub 2-category of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{R}^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{lf}})$ whose objects $\mathbb{1}_{\mu}$ are indexed by weights $\mu \in \Lambda^{\beta}_{n,n}$, 1-morphisms are locally finite, left bounded direct sums of grading shifts of the various functors F_i and E_i, such that Q is a 1-morphism, and the 2-morphisms are grading preserving natural transformations between these functors.

Let $\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ denote Khovanov-Lauda and Rouquier's 2-Kac-Moody algebra from [9, 23] (which are the same by [1]). The following result is immediate.

Lemma 3.20. *There is a 2-action of* $\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n \times \mathfrak{sl}_n)$ *on* $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$.


The lemma implies that in particular, the categorified q-Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}(0,n)$ from [14] acts on $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$.

3.2. **Recovering the finite dimensional irreducible** $V((N)^n,(0)^n)$**.** For a given floating dot ω^a, the intersection with a horizontal line gives rise to an idempotent 1_{ω} in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ consisting of vertical segments formed from the intersection points with the strands at the left of ω^a. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}_{\ell_0}]$ the ring in the dots on the ℓ_0 strands labeled 0 in 1_{ω}, and by $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}_{\ell_{\pm}}]$ the ring in the dots on the $\ell_{\pm}$ strands labeled ± 1 in 1_{ω}. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $h_r(\ell_0)$ for the rth complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial in the variables in $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}_{\ell_0}]$, and $e_r(\ell_{\pm})$ the rth elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables in $\mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}_{\ell_{\pm}}]$.

For each $N \geqslant 0$ we introduce a differential d_N on $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by declaring that d_N acts trivially on crossings and on dots, and sends a labeled floating dot ω^a to the following polynomial of dots

$$d_N(\omega^a) = (-1)^{\ell_0+a}\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\ell_{\pm}} h_{a-\ell_0+\ell_{\pm}+N+1-r}(\ell_0)e_m(\ell_{\pm})\right), \tag{21}$$

to be placed at the same height as the floating dot, as in the example below:



This differential has degree $\deg(d_N) = (2N,-2)$ and parity 1.

Proposition 3.21. *The differential* d_N *satisfies relations* (8), (9) *and* (10) *in the definition of the* $\mathfrak{p}$*-KLR algebra, and gives* $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *the structure of a bigraded dg-algebra with respect to the parity.*

Proof. This comes from the fact that (13) gives a faithful action and the results in [18, Section 3.3]. □

Alternatively, using the basis of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, one can first decompose an element of $R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a combination of diagrams with only tight floating dots and then use $d_N(\omega_1) = -x_1^N$ as definition, which is (21) specialized to a tight floating dot. From this, one can see that the cohomology of $(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N)$ is concentrated in λ-degree zero and thus is a formal dg-algebra.

Lemma 3.22. *The DG-algebra $(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N)$ is formal. Moreover, it is quasi-isomorphic to the cyclotomic KLR algebra R^{Λ}, with $\Lambda = ((0)^{n-1}, N, (0)^{n-1})$.*

Proof. We can restrict to the case of tight floating dots. The proof is straightforward by seeing that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ without floating dots is the KLR algebra and the ideal given by the image of the differential union the one from Definition 3.11 corresponds exactly to the ideal by which we quotient to define the cyclotomic KLR algebra from [8]. □


Passing to the bounded derived category of the category of bigraded, left, compact $(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N)$-modules $\mathcal{D}^c(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N)$ and defining functors F_i^N, E_i^N, $i = -k+1, \dots, k-1$, in the same way as F_i^{β} and E_i^{β} we have the following.

Proposition 3.23. *The functors F_i^N and E_i^N induce an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n})$ on the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}^c(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N)$. With this action, $K_0(\mathcal{D}^c(R^{\beta}_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_N))$ is isomorphic to the irreducible representation of highest weight $((N)^k, (0)^k)$.*

Proof. The proof is given by the Lemma 3.22 and Kang–Kashiwara's results [6, Theorem 6.2], as explained in [19]. □

3.3. **A parabolic 2-Verma module II: the case of $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$.** We now treat the $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1}$ ("reduced") case. Recall the $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$-weight $((\beta)^{n-1}, 1, (0)^{n-1})$ is translated into the $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}$-weight $((0)^{n-2}, \beta - 1, 1, (0)^{n-2})$. By [18] and [19] we know that shifted Verma modules are given by changing the minimal integer label of the floating dots. We also know that the choice of cyclotomic quotient for the KLR algebra determines the highest weight. Therefore, we define the following.

Definition 3.24. Let $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be defined as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ but where floating dots are allowed to have label down to -1, and $I = \{-n+2, -n+3, \dots, n-1\}$. In this context we call tight floating dot a floating dot with label -1 at the right of the left most strand. We define $R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ to be the quotient of $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by all diagrams of the form



Define $\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta} : R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu)\text{-mod} \to R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu+i)\text{-mod}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^{\beta} : R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu+i)\text{-mod} \to R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu)\text{-mod}$ as before

$$\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}(M) = R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu+i)e(\nu,i) \otimes_{R^{\beta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\nu)} M,$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^{\beta}(N) = e(\nu,i)N\langle 2\nu_i - \nu_{i\pm1} + 1 - \delta_{i,0} + \delta_{i,1}, -\epsilon_i\rangle.$$

All results from §3.1 can be applied almost unchanged to this context, except for Lemma 3.14 which still holds but require a little bit more attention to adapt since the quotient changes. However the idea remains the same and it is proved in [19] using alternative arguments. Therefore we obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.25. *There is a short exact sequence of functors*

$$0 \to \overline{\mathsf{F}}_0^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{E}}_0^{\beta}1_\nu \to \overline{\mathsf{E}}_0^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_0^{\beta}1_\nu \to \oplus_{[\beta+\nu_{\pm1}-2\nu_0-1]}1_\nu \to 0, \tag{22}$$

and isomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathsf{E}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_\nu \simeq \overline{\mathsf{F}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{E}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_\nu \oplus_{[\nu_{k\pm1}-2\nu_k+\delta_{k,1}]_q} 1_\nu \qquad \text{if } \nu_{k\pm1}+\delta_{k,1} \geqslant 2\nu_k, \tag{23}$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{F}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{E}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_\nu \simeq \overline{\mathsf{E}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_{\pm k}^{\beta}1_\nu \oplus_{[2\nu_k-\nu_{k\pm1}-\delta_{k,1}]_q} 1_\nu \qquad \text{if } \nu_{k\pm1}+\delta_{k,1} \leqslant 2\nu_k, \tag{24}$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}1_\nu \simeq \overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^{\beta}1_\nu, \tag{25}$$

for $i \neq j$ and $k \geqslant 1$. There are also isomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}1_\nu \simeq \overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}1_\nu \qquad \text{for } |i-j|>1, \tag{26}$$

$$\oplus_{[2]_q}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}1_\nu \simeq \overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}1_\nu \oplus \overline{\mathsf{F}}_j^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}1_\nu \qquad \text{for } i=j\pm1. \tag{27}$$

Recall that $M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\beta}) := M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}((\beta)^{n-1},1,(0)^{n-1})$

Theorem 3.26. *The functors $\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^{\beta}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^{\beta}$ induce an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$ on the Grothendieck group of $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\beta}$ when specializing $\pi=-1$. With this action we have an isomorphism*

$$\boldsymbol{G}_0(R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\beta}) \cong M^{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}(\overline{\beta}),$$

of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$ representations.

Now equip $R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\beta}$ with the differential $d_N(\omega_1^{-1}) = -x_1^N$. This gives a formal dg-algebra quasi-isomorphic to the cyclotomic KLR algebra R^{Λ} with $\Lambda = ((0)^{n-2},N,1,(0)^{n-2})$. Applying the same arguments as in §3.2 and defining $\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^N$ and $\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^N$ in the obvious way, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.27. *The functors $\overline{\mathsf{F}}_i^N$ and $\overline{\mathsf{E}}_i^N$ induce an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$ on the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}^c(R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\beta},d_N)$. With this action, $K_0(\mathcal{D}^c(R_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\beta},d_N))$ is isomorphic to the irreducible representation of highest weight $((N+1)^{n-1},1,(0)^{n-1})$.*

4. Link homology

4.1. **Braiding.** By a well-known construction due to Cautis [2], we know how to associate a chain complex in the 2-category $Kom(\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$ of complexes of the Hom-categories of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, called a *Rickard complex*, which satisfies the braid relations up to homotopy.

For a positive crossing $\mathcal{T}_i$ between the ith and $(i+1)$th strands the Rickard complex is

$$\mathcal{T}_i\mathbb{1}_\mu = \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{r+1}} \mathsf{F}_i^{(\mu_i+r)}\mathsf{E}_i^{(r)}\mathbb{1}_\mu\{r\} \xrightarrow{d_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathsf{F}_i^{(\mu_i+1)}\mathsf{E}_i\mathbb{1}_\mu\{1\} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathsf{F}_i^{(\mu_i)}\mathbb{1}_\mu, \tag{28}$$

with $\mathsf{F}_i^{(\mu_i)}\mathbb{1}_\mu$ in homological degree zero, if $\mu_i \geqslant 0$. Here $\{s\}$ means an upward shift in the q-grading by s units. The Rickard complex of a negative crossing is the left adjoint to $\mathcal{T}_i\mathbb{1}_\mu$ in $Kom(\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$. There are similar formulas for $\mu_i \geqslant 0$ but we will not use them. An explicit description of the differentials can be obtained easily from Lemma 3.20 by noticing that as usual, the differential d_r in the Rickard complex (28) is given by the counit of the adjunction $F_i \dashv E_i$. We denote by $[n]$ a shift in the homological grading, which consists of shifting n units to the right the complex under consideration.


Following Cautis's contruction on [2] we associate a Rickard complex $C'(b)$ in the 2-category $Kom(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$ of complexes in the Hom-categories of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ to each braid diagram b on k strands (see §3.1.7 for the definition of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$). This gives a braiding on the homotopy category of $Kom(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$.

4.2. **Invariance under the Markov moves.** Closing the diagram for b consists of precomposing $C'(b)$ with the appropriate word on functors $\mathsf{F}_{-n+1}, \dots, \mathsf{F}_{n-1}$ and composing it with the apppropriate word from $\mathsf{E}_{-n+1}, \dots, \mathsf{E}_{n-1}$, following the patterns in Eq. (1). This results in a chain complex $C'(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ in $Kom(\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta))$, which is a complex of endofunctors of the block corresponding to the highest weight in $M^{\mathfrak{p}}((\beta)^n, (0)^n)$ that is, a complex of $\Bbbk$-spaces.

Proposition 4.1. *The homotopy type of the chain complex $C'(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ is invariant under the Markov moves, up to parity and an overall grading shift.*


Proof. We start with the first Markov Move. Suppose we have a web in $\dot{S}_{q,\beta}(n-1,n)$ coming from a closure of a braid diagram. Since

(29)



where $B_i = F_iE_i = E_iF_i$ involve the ith and the $i+1$th strands in the original braid, and we have similar relations for either downward strands and for the bottom part of the braid closure, the first Markov move can be decomposed in a sequence of moves like (to avoid cluttering we have dropped the βs from the pictures, since it is clear where to place them)

(30)



and similar for the bottom part of the closure.

Relation (29) requires an isomorphism of 1-morphisms in $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$

$$\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{E}_{i-1}\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{F}_i\mathbb{1}_{(\dots,1,1,\dots)} \cong \mathsf{E}_{i-1}\mathsf{F}_{i-1}\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{E}_{i-1}\mathbb{1}_{(\dots,1,1,\dots)}$$

which is proved in [20, Lemma 3.19].



To prove relation (30) we write $\mathsf{L}\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}$ and $\mathsf{R}\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}$ for the left-hand-side and right-hand side of (30), respectively. We write also $\mathsf{E}_{\pm}$ instead of $\mathsf{E}_{\pm 1}$, and the same for $\mathsf{F}_{\pm}$. Using Theorem 3.17 we have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{L}\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)} &= \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_+\mathsf{E}_-\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_+\mathsf{E}_+\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}\\ &\cong \oplus_{[2]_q}\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_-\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_+\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}\\ &\cong \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_-\mathsf{E}_+\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{R}\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)} &= \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_+\mathsf{E}_-\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_-\mathsf{E}_-\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}\\ &\cong \oplus_{[2]_q}\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_+\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_-\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}\\ &\cong \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{E}_+\mathsf{E}_-\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-1,\beta-1,1,1)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove the second Markov move. Consider diagrams D_0 and D_1^+ that differ as below.

$D_1^+ =$  , $\qquad D_0 =$  .

The complex for D_1^+ induced from the Rickard complex (28) is $\mathsf{E}_0\mathcal{T}_1\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}$, which is

$$C'(D_1^+) \cong \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_1\mathsf{E}_1\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 1,0\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)},$$

with the second term in homological degree zero.

Switching E_0 with F_1 and E_1 with F_0 on the term in homological degree 0 and applying the exact sequence (15) to $\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,1,0)}$ gives an isomorphism (notice in this case $\mathsf{F}_0\mathsf{E}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,1,0)}$ is zero)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_1\mathsf{E}_1\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 1,0\rangle &\cong \mathsf{F}_1\mathsf{E}_1[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,1\rangle \oplus \Pi\mathsf{F}_1\mathsf{E}_1[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 2,-1\rangle\\ &\cong \Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,1\rangle \oplus \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 2,-1\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the short exact sequence (15) to the term in homological degree 1 gives

$$\mathsf{E}_0\mathsf{F}_0\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)} \cong \Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,1\rangle \oplus \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,-1\rangle$$

Computing with the maps used above we get that $C'(D_1^+)$ is isomorphic to the complex

$$C'(D_1^+) \cong \begin{pmatrix}\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,1\rangle\\ \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 2,-1\rangle\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\psi} \begin{pmatrix}\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,1\rangle\\ \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,-1\rangle\end{pmatrix},$$

with differential

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & f\end{pmatrix}$$

where $f\colon \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 2,-1\rangle \cong \Pi\xi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,-1\rangle \to \Pi\Bbbk[\xi]\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,-1\rangle$ is the inclusion map. After the removal of all acyclic subcomplexes we get that $C'(D_1^+)$ is homotopy equivalent to the complex

$$C'(D_1^+) \cong 0 \to \Pi\Bbbk\mathbb{1}_{(\beta-0,0,1)}\langle 0,-1\rangle.$$

We have therefore that the complexes $C'(D_1^+)$ and $\Pi C'(D_0)\langle 0,-1\rangle$ are homotopy equivalent.

An analogous computation shows that for a diagram D_1^- containing negative crossing instead, the complexes $C'(D_1^-)$ and $C'(D_0)[1]\langle -2,0\rangle$ are homotopy equivalent. □

Define the normalized chain complex $C(\mathrm{cl}(b)) = \Pi^{n_+}C'(\mathrm{cl}(b))[-n_-]\langle 2n_-, n_+\rangle$ where as usual, $n_\pm$ is the number of positive/negative crossings in $\mathrm{cl}(b)$.

Corollary 4.2. *The homology groups $H(b)$ of $C(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ are triply-graded link invariants and its bigraded Euler characteristic is the HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*

A version of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$ for divided powers of the F_is and E_is could be used to construct a version of HOMFLY-PT homology for links colored by minuscule representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$, as the one constructed by Mackaay-Stošić-Vaz in [13] and Webster-Williamson [25]. Moreover, the differential d_N would give rise to a spectral sequence to colored $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-Khovanov-Rozansky link homology, as the one constructed by Wedrich in [26]. However proving a version of the first exact sequence from Theorem 3.15 for divided powers might be a nontrivial problem.

4.3. $H(b)$ **is isomorphic with Khovanov-Rozansky HOMFLY-PT link homology.** We now show that our link homology is equivalent to the HOMFLY-PT link homology by Khovanov and Rozansky [11, 7] by proving that $H(L)$ is isomorphic with Rasmussen's version of HOMFLY-PT homology in [22].

Theorem 4.3. *For every braid b the homology $H(b)$ is isomorphic with Khovanov-Rozansky HOMFLY-PT link homology.*

The theorem above gives us an equivalence in a weak sense. We conjecture the equivalence is in fact stronger, in the following sense. The Soergel category $\mathcal{SC}_n$ from [4] acts on $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$, in particular, on its $(0,\dots,0,\beta,0,\dots,0)$-weight space (this action goes through the categorified q-Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}(n,n)$ (see [14, §6]), which also acts on $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$, as explained at the end of §3.1.7). Composing with the operation of closing the braid on the top with the correct sequence of E's, following the pattern in (1), gives a functor Φ from $\mathcal{SC}_n$ to the category $Ab_{q,\lambda}$ of bigraded abelian groups (after forgeting the parity). Notice that the same pattern, but with F's, has to be used on the bottom of the diagram to create the weight on which $\mathcal{SC}_n$ acts.

Conjecture 4.4. *The functor Φ is isomorphic with the Hochschild homology functor.*

We now prove Theorem 4.3. We assume the reader is familiar with [22]. Let L be a link presented as the closure of a braid b in n strands. Recall that the process of closing b amounts to composing a word in E_i's with the Rickard complex for b (after adding n parallel strands at its right) and with a word in F_i's. Of course, the closure procedure extends canonically to webs. Let S be the (polynomial) ring in the dots on the F_0's used to form the closure of a web Γ.

Lemma 4.5. *For every web Γ, $H(\Gamma)$ is a free module over S.*

Proof. The proof follows the reasoning as the proof of Rasmussen of an analogous result using matrix factorizations [22, Proposition 4.8] which is based on an induction scheme introduced by Wu [27, §3]. The only thing we need to check are the MOY relations 0 to III from §4.2 in [22]. MOY relations II and III are already satisfied in $\dot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, and MOY relations 0 and I are a direct consequence of the short exact sequence (15) in Theorem 3.17, when applied to the weights $(\dots, \beta - 0, 0, \dots)$ and $(\dots, \beta - 0, 1, \dots)$, since one of the terms in the exact sequence always act as the zero functor on these weights. □

Notice that in [22] the total homological grading (the powers of t in the Euler characteristic) is a linear combination of the gradings gr_h and gr_v introduced there. The minus sign occuring in the numerator of the homology of the unknot in [22] comes from a t. Since we work with bigraded superrings we can concentrate the homology of the unkot in homological degree 0. To ease the conversion between the several gradings used here and the ones used by Rasmussen in [22] and to make the terminology consistent with his, we will refer and treat the parity in our construction as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-grading. We will also call h-grading the homological grading in our version of $H(b)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Since the braiding in both constructions is the Rickard complex, the proof follows at once from Lemma 4.5, together with the fact that both constructions satisfy the MOY relations. Our q, λ and h gradings agree with the gradings q, $2\,\mathrm{gr}_h$ and gr_v in [22]. The only difference is how Rasmussen defines the Euler characteristic, which is translated in the fact that our parity is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of gr_h. □

4.4. **Khovanov-Rozansky's $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-link homologies.** Using the 2-representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$, constructed from the cyclotomic KLR algebra $R^{((0)^{n-1},N,(0)^{n-1})}$ as input instead of $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)$, results in Khovanov and Rozansky's $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-link homology $H_N(L)$ from [10]. This follows at once from the work of Mackaay and Yonezawa [15].

4.5. **Reduced homologies.** Using the parabolic subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1})$ and the highest weight $((\beta)^{n-1}, 1, (0)^{n-1})$ in the construction above yields reduced versions $\overline{H}$ and $\overline{H}_N$ of H and H_N. All the results in the preceding subsections have analogues for the case of reduced homologies, and are proved essentially in the same way as above.

There is one subtlety to take in account when claiming the equivalence with reduced Khovanov-Rozansky homologies. Recall that in the case of the reduced versions from [10, 11, 22] the abelian groups $\overline{H}(L,i)$ and $\overline{H}_N(L,i)$ are invariants of the link L together with a marked component i. With our choice of cutting out and open a diagram of a braid closure in §2.2.4, the outermost strand in our version (the one that is cut) corresponds with the preferred component i of $\mathrm{cl}(b)$ (as described in [22]) under the isomorphism between our reduced homologies and Khovanov and Rozansky's. For the sake of completeness we state the main result for the record.

Theorem 4.6. *The homology groups $\overline{H}(b)$ of $\overline{C}(\mathrm{cl}(b))$ are triply-graded link invariants which are isomorphic to the reduced Khovanov-Rozansky homology groups when the outermost strand of $\mathrm{cl}(b)$ is taken as the preferred one. The bigraded Euler characteristic of $\overline{H}(b)$ is the normalized HOMFLY-PT polynomial of the closure of b.*

Using the cyclotomic KLR algebra $R^{((0)^{n-1},N,1,(0)^{n-1})}$ for $\mathfrak{gl}_{2n-1}$ results in a reduced version of Khovanov and Rozansky's $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-link homology.

4.6. **HOMFLY-PT to $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ spectral sequences converge at the second page.** Throughout this section we assume the reader is familiar with [22].

The differential d_N introduced in §3.1.6 can be made to anticommute with the differentials in the Rickard complex (this consists of introducing a factor of -1 to the power of the homological degree in the definition of d_N) and therefore to induce a differential on $H(b)$, also denoted d_N. Recall that the differentials d_N and d are homogeneous with respect to the q, λ, h and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ gradings and

$$\deg(d_N) = (2N, -2, 0, 1), \qquad \deg(d) = (0, 0, 1, 0), \tag{31}$$

where (i, j, k, ℓ) are the various gradings in the order as above.

To any double complex (M, d', d'') we can associate two spectral sequences $\{E_r^I, d_r^I\}$ and $\{E_r^{II}, d_r^{II}\}$, which are induced by the two canonical filtrations. Moreover, $E_2^I = H(H(M, d''), d')$ and $E_2^{II} = H(H(M, d'), d'')$ and if the double complex is bounded, then both spectral sequences converge to the total homology $H(M, d' + d'')$.

For a link L presented in the form of a closure of a braid b, we form the double complex $(C(L), d, d_N)$, with total grading $\deg_h - \deg_\lambda$. Let $\{E_r^I, d_r^I\}$ and $\{E_r^{II}, d_r^{II}\}$ be respectively the spectral sequences induced by the λ and h-filtration. In other words, $\{E_r^I, d_r^I\}$ is a spectral sequence whose E_1-page is $H(L)$, which converges to $H(C(L), d + d_N)$. As in [22, Lemma 5.4] the differential d_k^I is homogeneous of degree $(2Nk, -2k, 1-k, k \bmod 2)$ and respects the grading $\deg_N = \deg_q + N \deg_\lambda$. This follows immediately from Eq. (31) (recall our λ-grading is twice the grading gr_h in [22]). The differential d_k^{II} is homogeneous of degree $(2N(1-k), 2(1-k), k, 1+k \bmod 2)$ and respects the grading $\deg_N$.

Theorem 4.7. *Both spectral sequences $\{E_k^I, d_k^I\}$ and $\{E_k^{II}, d_k^{II}\}$ converge at the second page and moreover $E_\infty^{II} = E_\infty^I \cong H_N(L)$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.22 implies $H(C(L), d_N)$ is concentrated in a single λ-grading, which is the lowest λ-degree in $C(L)$ and easy to calculate from the words in E_0 and F_0 used to close the braid diagram: if b has m strands then $H(C(L), d_N)$ is concentrated in λ-degree $-m$. Together with the degree of d_k^{II} as given above and the fact that it respects the grading $\deg_N$, this implies that $E_\infty^{II} = E_2^{II} \cong H_N(L)$. An exercise in diagram-chasing shows that $H((C(L), d), d_N)$ is concentrated in the lowest λ-degree in $H(C(L), d)$, which implies $E_\infty^I = E_2^I$. Since d_k^I respects the grading $\deg_N$ and $E_\infty^I = E_\infty^{II}$ we have that $E_\infty^I \cong H_N(L)$. □

We have proved the $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-part of Conjecture 3.1 from [3].

Corollary 4.8. *For a link L presented as the closure of a braid, $H_N(L)$ is the homology of the differential $d_N \colon H(L) \to H(L)$.*

The same holds for reduced homologies. We state the result for the record.

Corollary 4.9. *For a link L presented as the closure of a braid, $\overline{H}_N(L)$ is the homology of the differential $d_N \colon \overline{H}(L) \to \overline{H}(L)$.*

REFERENCES

[1] J. Brundan, On the definition of Kac-Moody 2-category, *Math. Ann.*, (2016) 364:353-372.
[2] S. Cautis, Clasp technology to knot homology via the affine Grassmannian, *Math. Ann.*, (2015) 363:1053-1115.
[3] N. Dunfield, S. Gukov and J. Rasmussen, The superpolynomial for knot homologies, *Experiment. Math.*, 15 (2006) 129-159.
[4] B. Elias and M. Khovanov, Diagrammatics for Soergel categories, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2010) 58 pages, art. id 978635.
[5] J. Humphreys, Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 94, AMS, 2008.
[6] S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras, *Invent. Math.*, (2012) 190:699-742.
[7] M. Khovanov, Triply-graded link homology and Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules, *Int. J. Math.* 18(8):869-885, 2007.
[8] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I, *Represent. Theory* 13 (2009), 309-347.
[9] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A categorification of quantum $\mathrm{sl}(n)$, *Quantum Topol.* 1 (2010), no. 1, 1-92.
[10] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, Matrix factorizations and link homology, *Fund. Math.* 199 (1) (2008) 1-91.
[11] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky, Matrix factorizations and link homology II, *Geom. Topol.* 12:1387-1425, 2008.
[12] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 110, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
[13] M. Mackaay, M. Stošić and P. Vaz, The 1,2-coloured HOMFLY-PT link homology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (2011), no. 4, 2091-2124.
[14] M. Mackaay, M. Stošić and P Vaz, A diagrammatic categorification of the q-Schur algebra, *Quantum Topol.* 4 (2013) 1-75 .
[15] M. Mackaay, and Y. Yonezawa, The sl(N) web categories and categorified skew Howe duality, arXiv:1306.6242 (2013).
[16] V. Mazorchuk, Generalized Verma modules, *Mathematical Studies Monograph Series* 8, VNTL Publishers, L'viv, 2000.
[17] G. Naisse and P. Vaz, An approach to categorification of Verma modules, arXiv:1603.04555 [math.RT] (2016).
[18] G. Naisse and P. Vaz, On 2-Verma modules for quantum $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, arXiv:1704.08205 [math.RT] (2017).
[19] G. Naisse and P. Vaz, 2-Verma modules (in preparation).
[20] H. Queffelec and D. Rose, The $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ foam 2-category: A combinatorial formulation of Khovanov-Rozansky homology via categorical skew Howe duality, *Adv. Math.* 302 (2016), 1251-1339.
[21] H. Queffelec and A. Sartori, HOMFLY-PT and Alexander polynomials from a doubled Schur algebra, arXiv: 1412.3824v1 [math.QA] (2014).
[22] J. Rasmussen, Some differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky homology, *Geom. Topol.* 19 (2015), no. 6, 3031-3104.
[23] R. Rouquier, 2-Kac-Moody algebras, arXiv:0812.5023 (2008).
[24] R. Rouquier, Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. Algebra Colloq. 19 (2012), no. 2, 359-410.
[25] B. Webster and G. Williamson, A geometric construction of the colored HOMFLYPT homology, arXiv: 0905.0486 [math.GT] (2009).
[26] P. Wedrich, Exponential growth of colored HOMFLY-PT homology, arXiv:1602.02769 [math.GT] (2016).
[27] H. Wu, Braids, transversal links and the Khovanov-Rozansky theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008) 3365-3389.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM
E-mail address: gregoire.naisse@uclouvain.be

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ CATHOLIQUE DE LOUVAIN, CHEMIN DU CYCLOTRON 2, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM
E-mail address: pedro.vaz@uclouvain.be